arXiv:1701.06880v1 [math.RT] 24 Jan 2017

COSET VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS AND $\mathcal{W}$-ALGEBRAS OF A-TYPE

TOMOYUKI ARAKAWA AND CUIPO JIANG

Abstract. We give an explicit description for the weight three generator of the coset vertex operator algebra $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(1,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l+1,0))$, for $n \geq 2, l \geq 1$. Furthermore, we prove that the commutant $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_3}}(l,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_3}}(1,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_3}}(l+1,0))$ is isomorphic to the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_{-3+\frac{l+3}{l+4}}(\mathfrak{sl}_3)$, which confirms the conjecture for the $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ case that $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(1,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l+1,0))$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{W}_{-h+\frac{l+h}{l+h+1}}(\mathfrak{g})$ for simply-laced Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}$ with its Coxeter number h for a positive integer l.

1. Introduction

1.1. Given a vertex operator algebra V and a vertex operator subalgebra $U \subseteq V$, $C_V(U)$ which is called the commutant of U in V or coset construction, is the subalgebra of V which commutes with U. The coset vertex algebra construction, initiated in [GKO], was introduced by Frenkel and Zhu in [FZ]. Coset construction is one of the major ways to construct new vertex operator algebras from given ones. It is widely believed that the commutant $C_V(U)$ inherits properties from V and U in many ways. In particular it is expected that $C_V(U)$ is rational if both V and U are rational. No general results of this kind have been known so far. Nevertheless, many interesting examples, especially coset vertex operator algebras related to affine vertex operator algebras, have been extensively studied both in the physics and mathematics literature [AP], [ALY1], [ALY2], [BEHHH], [BFH], [ChL], [CL], [DJX], [DLY], [DLWY], [DW1], [DW2], [GQ], [JL1], [JL2], [LS], [LY], etc.

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ be the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras associated to a complex simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and its Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$, respectively. For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $k \neq -h^\vee$, where $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxter number of $\mathfrak{g}$, let $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$ and $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k,0)$ be the associated simple vertex operator algebras with level k, respectively [FZ], [LL]. The commutant of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k,0)$ in $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$, denoted by $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$, is the so called parafermion vertex operator algebra [ZF]. Parafermion vertex operator algebras have been studied extensively [ALY1]-[ALY2], [CGT], [DLWY], [DLY], [DW1]-[DW2], [JL1], [LY], etc. Among other things, for a positive integer k, the C_2-cofiniteness of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B69.

Arakawa is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grants (25287004 and 26610006) .

Jiang is supported by CNSF grants (11371245 and 11531004).

$K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ was established in [ALY1] and [DW2], the generators of $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ were given in [DW1], and the rationalities of $K(\mathfrak{sl}_2,k)$ and $K(\mathfrak{sl}_k,2)$ were established in [ALY2] and [JL1]-[JL2], respectively.

Let $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(1,0)$ be the simple affine vertex operator algebra associated to the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with level 1. For $l\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(1,0)^{\otimes l}$ has a tensor product vertex operator algebra structure with $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l,0)$ being a vertex operator subalgebra. The commutant of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l,0)$ in $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(1,0)^{\otimes l}$ is a simple vertex operator subalgebra of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(1,0)^{\otimes l}$. It was proved in [JL2] and in [L] independently that $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(1,0)^{\otimes l}}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l,0))\cong K(\mathfrak{sl}_l,n)$ as vertex operator algebras, presenting a version of level-rank duality. The classification of irreducible modules and the rationality of $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}(1,0)^{\otimes l}}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}(l,0))$ were established in [JL1]. Then by the level-rank duality, the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{sl}_l,2)$ is rational for any $l\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$.

More generally, given a sequence of positive integers $\underline{\ell}=(l_1,\cdots l_s)$, the tensor product vertex operator algebra $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\underline{\ell},0)=L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l_1,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l_2,0)\otimes\cdots\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l_s,0)$ contains a vertex operator subalgebra isomorphic to $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(|\underline{\ell}|,0)$ with $|\underline{\ell}|=l_1+\cdots+l_s$. On the other hand, the sequence $\underline{\ell}$ defines a Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}$ of $\mathfrak{sl}_{|\underline{\ell}|}$. Denote by $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}}}(n,0)$ the vertex operator subalgebra of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{|\underline{\ell}|}}}(n,0)$ generated by $\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}$. Set $K(\mathfrak{sl}_{|\underline{\ell}|},\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}},n)=C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{|\underline{\ell}|}}}(n,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}}}(n,0))$. It was established in [JL2] that $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(\underline{\ell},0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(|\underline{\ell}|,0))\cong K(\mathfrak{sl}_{|\underline{\ell}|},\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}},n)$, which is a more general version of rank-level duality. In particular, we have $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(1,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l+1,0))\cong C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}}}(n,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_l}}(n,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}_l}}(n,0))$.

(The principal) $\mathcal{W}$-algebras are one-parameter families of vertex algebras associated to simple Lie algebras. First example of a $\mathcal{W}$-algebra was introduced by Zamolodchikov [Za] in an attempt to classify extended conformal algebras with two generating fields. Since then there have been several approaches to the construction of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras [BFKNRV], [FL], [FZa], [Lu], [LF], [BG], [BBSS2], [Bou], [BP], [Mi], [BBSS1], [FFr3], [FFr4].

For $k\in\mathbb{C}$, let $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$ be the universal affine vertex operator algebra associated to the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with level k. The associated (principal) affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$, which is a vertex algebra, can be realized as the cohomology of the BRST complex of the quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction [FFr3], [FFr4]. From this point of view, $\mathcal{W}$-algebras have been studied deeply and extensively, and many remarkable results have been achieved [BO], [FFR1]-[FFr4], [Fe], [F], [KWa1]-[KWa4], [FKW], [FBZ], [Ar1]-[Ar4], [AM], etc. Among other things, it was established in [Ar2] that the character of each irreducible highest weight representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is completely determined by that of the corresponding irreducible highest weight representations of the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. The C_2-cofiniteness and the rationality of the minimal series principal $\mathcal{W}$-algebras were established in [Ar4] and [Ar5], respectively.

Recall that [FKW] a rational number k with the denominator $u\in\mathbb{N}$ is called principal admissible if

$$u(k+h^\vee)\geq h^\vee,\quad (u,r^\vee)=1,$$

where h is the Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$, $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $r^\vee$ is the maximal number of the edges in the Dykin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$. A principal admissible number k is called non-degenerate if the denominator u is equal or greater than the Coxeter number h. For a non-degenerate admissible principal number k, denote by $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ the simple quotient of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$, which are also called minimal series principal $\mathcal{W}$-algebras [FKW], [Ar4], [Ar5].

One conjecture [FKW], [BS] about minimal series principal $\mathcal{W}$-algebras asserts that $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to the commutant $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(p,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(1,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(p+1,0))$ of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(p+1,0)$ in $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(p,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(1,0)$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ being simply-laced and $k=-h^\vee+\frac{p+h^\vee}{p+h^\vee+1}$, $p\in\mathbb{Z}_+$. This conjecture comes partially from the fact that $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ and $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(p,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(1,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(p+1,0)$ share the same normalized characters [KWa2], [FKW]. If $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$, then $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is the simple Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(c_p,0)$, where $c(k)=1-\frac{1}{(p+2)(p+3)}$. For this case, the conjecture follows from the Goddard-Kent-Olive construction [GKO]. If $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})(n\geq 2)$ and $p=1$, it was proved in [ALY2] that $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is isomorphic to the parafermion vertex operator algebra $K(\mathfrak{sl}_2,n)$, with which the rationality of $K(\mathfrak{sl}_2,n)$ is established. Then the conjecture in this case follows from the fact that $K(\mathfrak{sl}_2,n)\cong C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(1,0)^{\otimes 2}}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(2,0))$ [L], [JL2]. For general $l\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, by the level-rank duality and the reciprocity law given in [JL2], $C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(1,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l+1,0))\cong C_{K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)}(K(\mathfrak{sl}_l,n))$. In this paper, we first give explicitly a generator of $C_{K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)}(K(\mathfrak{sl}_l,n))$ of weight three. Then we prove that the conjecture is true for the case that $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review some basics on vertex operator algebras. In Section 3, we recall some notations and facts about principal affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras. In Section 4 we review parafermion vertex operator algebras and the level-rank duality for tensor power decompositions of rational vertex operator algebras of type A. In Section 5, we study the coset vertex operator algebra $C_{K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)}(K(\mathfrak{sl}_l,n))$. The main results of this paper are stated in this part.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notations and basic facts on vertex operator algebras [B], [FLM], [LL], [Z], [DLM1].

Definition 2.1. A vertex operator algebra $V=(V,Y,\mathbf{1},\omega)$ is defined as follows

(1) $V=\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}V_n$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with a linear map Y:

$$\begin{aligned}&V\to(\operatorname{End}V)[[z,z^{-1}]]\\&v\mapsto Y(v,z)=\sum_{n\in Z}v_nz^{-n-1}\quad(v_n\in\operatorname{End}V),\end{aligned}$$

such that $\dim V_n<\infty$, $V_m=0$ if $m<<0$, and for any $u,v\in V$, $v_nu=0$ for sufficiently large n.

(2) There exist two distinguished two vectors: the vacuum $\mathbf{1} \in V_0$, and the conformal vector $\omega \in V_2$ such that

$$Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = id, \quad \lim_{x\to 0} Y(u, x)\mathbf{1} = u,$$

$$Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2},$$

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m-n)L(m+n) + \frac{m^3-m}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}c.$$

The complex number c is called the central charge of V. Moreover, $L(0) = n$ on V_n, and for $u \in V$,

$$[L(-1), Y(u, z)] = \frac{d}{dz}Y(u, z).$$

(3) For any $u, v \in V$ there exists $n \geq 0$ such that

$$(z_1 - z_2)^n Y(u, z_1)Y(v, z_2) = (z_1 - z_2)^n Y(v, z_2)Y(u, z_1).$$

Definition 2.2. Let $(V, \mathbf{1}, \omega, Y)$ be a vertex operator algebra. A weak V-module is a vector space M equipped with a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} Y_M : \ & V \to \mathrm{End}(M)[[z, z^{-1}]] \\ & v \mapsto Y_M(v, z) = \textstyle\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1}, \quad v_n \in \mathrm{End}M \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following:

(1) $v_n w = 0$ for $n >> 0$ where $v \in V$ and $w \in M$,

(2) $Y_M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathrm{id}_M$,

(3) The Jacobi identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y_M(u, z_1)Y_M(v, z_2) - z_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y_M(v, z_2)Y_M(u, z_1) \\ & \quad = z_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2}\right) Y_M(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Definition 2.3. An admissible V-module is a weak V-module which carries a $\mathbb{Z}_+$-grading $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_+} M(n)$, such that if $v \in V_r$ then $v_m M(n) \subseteq M(n + r - m - 1)$.

Definition 2.4. An ordinary V-module is a weak V-module which carries a $\mathbb{C}$-grading $M = \bigoplus_{l\in\mathbb{C}} M_l$, such that:

1) $\dim(M_l) < \infty$,

2) $M_{l+n} = 0$ for fixed l and $n << 0$,

3) $L(0)w = lw = \mathrm{wt}(w)w$ for $w \in M_l$, where $L(0)$ is the component operator of $Y_M(\omega, z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$.

It is easy to see that an ordinary V-module is an admissible one. If W is an ordinary V-module, we simply call W a V-module.

We call a vertex operator algebra C_2-cofinite if $V/C_2(V)$ is finite-dimensional where $C_2(V) = \langle u_{-2}v | u, v \in V\rangle$ [Z]. A vertex operator algebra is called rational if the admissible module category is semisimple [Z], [DLM1]. We have the following result from [Z], [DLM1], and [ABD].

Theorem 2.5. *If V is a vertex operator algebra satisfying the C_2-cofinite property, V has only finitely many irreducible admissible modules up to isomorphism. The rationality of of V also implies the same result.*

Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra and $(U, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega')$ a vertex operator subalgebra of V. Set

$$C_V(U) = \{v \in V | [Y(u, z_1), Y(v, z_2)] = 0, u \in U\}.$$

Recall from [FZ] and [LL] that if

$$\omega' \in U \cap V_2$$

and

$$L(1)\omega' = 0,$$

then

$$C_V(U) = \{v \in V | u_m v = 0, u \in U, m \geq 0\}$$

is a vertex operator subalgebra of V with the conformal vector $\omega - \omega'$. We shall write

$$Y(\omega', z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L'(n) z^{-n-2},$$

where we view the operators $L'(n)$ as acting on V. We have the following result from [LL].

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$ be a vertex operator algebra and $(U, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega')$ a vertex operator subalgebra of V. Then*

$$C_V(U) = \mathrm{Ker}_V\, L'(-1).$$

3. $\mathcal{W}$-algebras for principle case

In this section, we recall some notations and facts on the $\mathcal{W}$ algebras. $\mathcal{W}$-algebras may be defined in several ways. The definition here was given by using the quantum Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction [FFR1], [FFr2], [FKW], [KRW], [FBZ], [Ar2]. Throughout this section, k is a complex number with no restriction unless otherwise stated.

3.1. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra of rank l . Let $(\cdot|\cdot)$ be the normalized non-degenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}$, that is, $(\cdot|\cdot) = \frac{1}{2h^\vee}\cdot$Killing form, where $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let e be a principal nilpotent element of $\mathfrak{g}$ so that $\dim \mathfrak{g}^e = l$. By the Jacobson-Morozov theorem, there exists an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\{e, f, h_0\}$ associated to e satisfying

$$[h_0, e] = 2e,\ [h_0, f] = -2f,\ [e, f] = h_0.$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{g}_j = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} | [h_0, x] = 2jx\},\ \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This gives a triangular decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_0,\ \mathfrak{n}_+ = \bigoplus_{j\geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_j,\ \mathfrak{n}_- = \bigoplus_{j\geq 1} \mathfrak{g}_{-j}.$$

Denote by $\Delta_+ \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ the set of positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_l\}$ the subset of simple roots. Let $\mathfrak{n}_+^*$ be the dual of $\mathfrak{n}_+$. Define $\bar{\chi}_+ \in \mathfrak{n}_+^*$ by

$$\bar{\chi}_+(x) = (f|x), \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{n}_+.$$

Then $\bar{\chi}_+$ is a character of n_+, that is, $\bar{\chi}_+([n_+, n_+]) = 0$. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the non-twisted affine Lie algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ (see [K] for details). That is,

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D,$$

with the commutation relations

$$[X(m), Y(n)] = [X, Y](m + n) + m\delta_{m+n,0}(X|Y)K,$$

$$[D, X(m)] = mX(m),\ [K, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $X(n) = X \otimes t^n$. The invariant symmetric bilinear form $(\cdot|\cdot)$ is extended from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ as follows:

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D,$$

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_+ = \mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]t,$$
$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_- = \mathfrak{n}_- \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}] \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_+ + \mathfrak{h})\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]t^{-1}.$$

Let

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^* = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\Lambda_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta$$

be the dual of $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$, where Λ_0 and δ are the dual elements of K and D, respectively. For $\lambda \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}^*$, the number $\lambda(K)$ is called the level of λ. Let $\widehat{\Delta}$ be the set of roots of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, Δ_+ the set of positive roots, and $\widehat{\Delta}_- = -\widehat{\Delta}_+$. Denote by $\widehat{\Delta}^{re}$ and $\widehat{\Delta}^{im}$ the set of real roots and the set of imaginary roots, respectively. Then

$$\widehat{\Delta}^{im} = \{n\delta \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\},\ \widehat{\Delta}_+^{re} = \{\alpha + n\delta, -\alpha + m\delta,\ | \ \alpha \in \Delta_+, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\}.$$

Let $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$ be the universal vertex operator algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ with level k (see [FZ], [LL], [K] for details). Let $\mathcal{C}l$ be the superalgebra generated by odd generators: $\psi_\alpha(n)$, $\alpha \in \Delta, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with the following super Lie relations:

$$[\psi_\alpha(m), \psi_\beta(n)]_+ = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}\delta_{m+n,0},$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here ψ_α is regarded as the element of $\mathcal{C}l$ corresponding to the root vector $e_\alpha(n) \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_\alpha$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the irreducible $\mathcal{C}l$-module generated by the cycle vector $\mathbf{1}$ such that

$$\psi_\alpha(n)\mathbf{1} = 0, \text{ if } \alpha + n\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}_+^{re}.$$

$\mathcal{F}$ is naturally a vertex operator superalgebra with the vacuum vector $\mathbf{1}$ and the fields defined by

$$Y(\psi_\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}, z) = \psi_\alpha(z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_\alpha(n) z^{-n-1}, \text{ for } \alpha \in \Delta_+,$$

$$Y(\psi_\alpha(0)\mathbf{1}, z) = \psi_\alpha(z) := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_\alpha(n) z^{-n}, \text{ for } \alpha \in \Delta_-.$$

The conformal vector is chosen as $\omega = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} \psi_{-\alpha}(-1)\psi_\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}$. Let

$$C_k(\mathfrak{g}) = V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0) \otimes \mathcal{F}$$

be the tensor product of the vertex operator algebra $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$ and the vertex superalgebra $\mathcal{F}$. Then $C_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is a vertex algebra. Define vertex operators $Q_+^{st}(z)$ and $\psi_+(z)$ as follows:

$$Q_+^{st}(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} Q_+^{st}(n) z^{-n-1} := \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} e_\alpha(z)\psi_{-\alpha}(z) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Delta_+} c_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma \psi_{-\alpha}(z)\psi_{-\beta}(z)\psi_\gamma(z),$$

$$\psi_+(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \psi_+(n) z^{-n} := \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} \bar{\chi}_+(e_\alpha)\psi_{-\alpha}(z),$$

where $\bar{\chi}_+$ is defined as above, and $c_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma$ is the structure constant of $\mathfrak{g}$, that is, for $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_+$, $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $e_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_\beta$,

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = \sum_{\gamma\in\Delta_+} c_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma e_\gamma.$$

As in [Ar2], by abuse notations, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
&Q_+^{st} := Q_+^{st}(0) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+, n\in\mathbb{Z}} e_\alpha(-n)\psi_{-\alpha}(n) - \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Delta_+, s+r+m=0} c_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma \psi_{-\alpha}(s)\psi_{-\beta}(r)\psi_\gamma(m),\\
&\chi_+ := \chi_+(1) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Delta_+} \bar{\chi}_+(e_\alpha)\psi_{-\alpha}(1)\\
&Q_+ := Q_+^{st} + \chi_+.
\end{aligned}$$

We have the following lemma [FBZ], [Ar2].

Lemma 3.1. $(Q_+^{st})^2 = \chi_+^2 = [Q_+^{st}, \chi_+] = 0$, $Q_+^2 = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}^i$ be an additional $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{F}$ defined by

$$\deg \mathbf{1} = 0,\ \deg \psi_\alpha(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta_- \\ -1 & \text{for } \alpha \in \Delta_+. \end{cases}$$

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$C_k^i(\mathfrak{g}) = V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k, 0) \otimes \mathcal{F}^i.$$

This gives a $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation of $C_k(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$C_k(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} C_k^i(\mathfrak{g}).$$

By definition,

$$Q_+ \cdot C_k^i(\mathfrak{g}) \subset C_k^{i+1}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then by Lemma 3.1, $(C_k(\mathfrak{g}), Q_+)$ is a BRST complex of vertex algebras in the sense of Section 3.15 in [Ar2] (also see [FBZ]). This complex is called the BRST complex of the quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov ("+") reduction [FFr4], [FBZ], [Ar2]. The following assertion was proved by B. Feigin and E. Frenkel [FFr4] for generic k, by J. de Boer and T. Tjin [dBT] for k in the case that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, and by E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi for the general case [FBZ].

Theorem 3.2. *The cohomology $H^i(C_k(\mathfrak{g}))$ is zero for all $i \neq 0$.*

Set

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g}) := H^0(C_k(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Then $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ is a vertex operator algebra. We have the following result from [FBZ].

Theorem 3.3. *The vertex operator algebra $H^0(C_k(\mathfrak{g}))$ is strongly generated by elements of degrees $d_i + 1$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, l$, where d_i is the ith exponent of $\mathfrak{g}$ and l is the rank of $\mathfrak{g}$.*

Denote by $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ the unique simple quotient of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ at a non-critical level k. The following theorem has been proved in [BFM] and [W] in the case that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and in [DLTV] in the case that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{C})$ and in [Ar4] for the general case.

Theorem 3.4. *The simple $\mathcal{W}$-algebra $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$ is rational (and C_2-cofinite* [Ar3]*), and the set of isomorphism classes of minimal series representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{g})$ forms the complete set of the isomorphism classes of simple modules over $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g})$, if k satisfies $k + h^\vee = \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $(p, q) = 1$ and*

$$\begin{cases} p \geq h^\vee,\ q \geq h, \text{ if } (q, r^\vee) = 1 \\ p \geq h,\ q \geq r^\vee h_{L_\mathfrak{g}^\vee}, \text{ if } (q, r^\vee) = r^\vee, \end{cases}$$

where $L_\mathfrak{g}$ is the Langlands dual Lie algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $r^\vee$ is the maximal number of the edges in the Dykin diagram of $\mathfrak{g}$.

The following conjecture is well known [FKW], [BS], [KWa4].

Conjecture 3.5. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a simply-laced simple Lie algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and h its Coxeter number. Then for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p \geq h$ and $k = -h + \frac{p+h}{p+h+1}$,*

$$\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{g}) \cong C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(p,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(1,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(p+1,0)).$$

4. Level-Rank Duality

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and a complex finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with normalized non-degenerate bilinear form, let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the corresponding affine Lie algebra and $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$ the simple vertex operator algebra associated with the integrable highest weight module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with level k. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k,0)$ the associated Heisenberg vertex operator subalgebra of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0)$. Let

$$K(\mathfrak{g},k) = \{v \in L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(k,0) | [Y(u,z_1), Y(v,z_2)] = 0, u \in L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(k,0)\}.$$

Then $K(\mathfrak{g},k)$ is the so-called parafermion vertex operator algebra (see [BEHHH], [DLY], etc.).

Let $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and $\underline{\ell} = (l_1, \cdots, l_s)$ such that $l_1, \cdots, l_s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Let $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l_i,0)$ be the simple vertex operator algebra associated with the integrable highest weight module of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with level l_i, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, s$. Then we have the tensor product vertex operator algebra:

$$V = L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l_1,0) \otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l_2,0) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l_s,0).$$

Denote

$$l = |\underline{\ell}| = \sum_{i=1}^{s} l_i.$$

$\mathfrak{g}$ can be naturally imbedded into the weight one subspace of V diagonally as follows:

$$\mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow V_1 \subseteq V$$

$$a \mapsto a(-1)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes a(-1)\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes a(-1)\mathbf{1}.$$

It is known that the vertex operator subalgebra U of V generated by $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to the simple vertex operator algebra $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l,0)$ ([FZ], [K], [LL]). Let $C_V(U)$ be the commutant of U in V. We have the following lemma ([JL2]).

Lemma 4.1. *$C_V(U)$ is a simple vertex operator subalgebra of V.*

Denote

$$s_0 = 0,\ s_j = l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_j,\ 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

For $l_k \geq 2$, let $\mathfrak{sl}_{l_k}(\mathbb{C})$ be the simple Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_l(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of matrices $A = (a_{ij})_{l\times l} \in \mathfrak{sl}_l(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$a_{ij} = 0$$

for all the pairs (i,j) such that at least one of i,j is not in the set $\{s_{k-1}+1, s_{k-1}+2, \cdots, s_k\}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\underline{\ell}}$ be the abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_l(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of diagonal matrices $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_l(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$[A, B] = 0,$$

for all $B \in \mathfrak{sl}_{l_k}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $l_k \geq 2$. Then

$$[\mathfrak{h}_{\underline{\ell}}, \bigoplus_{k=1, l_k \geq 2}^{m} \mathfrak{sl}_{l_k}(\mathbb{C})] = 0.$$

Set

$$\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}} = \mathfrak{h}_{\underline{\ell}} \bigoplus (\bigoplus_{k=1, l_k \geq 2}^{m} \mathfrak{sl}_{l_k}(\mathbb{C})).$$

Then $\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is a Levi subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_l(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{h}_{\underline{\ell}}$ is the center of $\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}$ which is contained in the (fixed) Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_l$. Denote by $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}}}(n,0)$ the vertex operator subalgebra of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_l}}(n,0)$ generated by $\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}$. It is easy to see that

$$L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}}}(n,0) \cong \left(\bigotimes_{k=1, l_k \geq 2}^{m} L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{l_k}}}(n,0) \right) \bigotimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}_{\underline{\ell}}}}(n,0), \tag{4.1}$$

where $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}_{\underline{\ell}}}}(n,0)$ is the Heisenberg vertex operator subalgebra of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_l}}(n,0)$ generated by $\mathfrak{h}_{\underline{\ell}}$. We denote

$$K(\mathfrak{sl}_l, \mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}, n) = C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_l}}(n,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}}}(n,0)).$$

The following theorem comes from [JL2].

Theorem 4.2. *We have*

$$C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l_1,0)\otimes\cdots\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l_m,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l,0)) \cong K(\mathfrak{sl}_l, \mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}, n).$$

$$K(\mathfrak{sl}_l, \mathfrak{l}_{\underline{\ell}}, n) \cong C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l_1,0)\otimes\cdots\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l_s,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l,0)) \cong C_{K(\mathfrak{sl}_l,n)}(K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l_1}, n) \otimes \cdots \otimes K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l_s}, n)).$$

Remark 4.3. *If $l_1 = \cdots = l_m = 1$, then by Theorem 4.2,*

$$C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(1,0)^{\otimes l}}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l,0)) \cong K(\mathfrak{sl}_l, n),$$

which was also established independently by Lam in [L].

5. The commutant of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l+1,0)$ in $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l,0) \otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(1,0)$

5.1. By the level-rank duality and the reciprocity law, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l,0)\otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(1,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n}}(l+1,0)) &\cong C_{L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}}}(n,0)}(L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_l}}(n,0) \otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}_l}}(n,0)) \\ &\cong C_{K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)}(K(\mathfrak{sl}_l, n)), \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

where $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_l}}(n,0)$ is the vertex operator sualgebra of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}}}(n,0)$ associated to the simple root system $\{\alpha_i, 1\leq i\leq l-1\}$ and $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}_l}}(n,0)$ is the Heisenberg vertex operator subalgebra of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}}}(n,0)$ generated by $\mathfrak{h}_l=\mathbb{C}(\sum_{i=1}^{l} ih_{\alpha_i})$.

Let $\{e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_{\alpha_i} \mid \alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_+, 1\leq i\leq l\}$ be a Chevalley basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}(\mathbb{C})$, and let $e_\alpha, f_\alpha, h_\alpha$ be a standard basis of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ associated to α, $\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_+$. For $\alpha\in\Delta_+$, denote by W^α and ω^α the weight 3 generator and the Virasoro vector of $K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)$ associated to α introduced in [DLY], respectively. Then

$$\omega^\alpha=\frac{1}{2n(n+2)}[-nh_\alpha(-2)\mathbf{1}-h_\alpha(-1)^2\mathbf{1}+2ne_\alpha(-1)f_\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}],$$

$$\begin{aligned}W^\alpha=\ & n^2h_\alpha(-3)\mathbf{1}+3nh_\alpha(-2)h_\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}+2h_\alpha(-1)^3\mathbf{1}\\ & -6nh_\alpha(-1)e_\alpha(-1)f_\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}+3n^2(e_\alpha(-2)f_\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1}-e_\alpha(-1)f_\alpha(-2)\mathbf{1}).\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha\in\Delta_+$, we denote by $W^{4,\alpha}$ and $W^{5,\alpha}$ the primary vectors of weight 4 and weight 5 of $K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)$ associated to α introduced in [DLY] respectively. From [DLY], if $n=3$, then both $W^{4,\alpha}$ and $W^{5,\alpha}$ are zeros for every $\alpha\in\Delta_+$, and $K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)$ is generated by $\{W^\alpha, \alpha\in\Delta_+\}$.

Denote

$$V=C_{K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)}(K(\mathfrak{sl}_l,n)).$$

Then V has the conformal vector ω with the central charge c_V as follows:

$$\omega=\frac{n+2}{n+l+1}\left(\sum_{1\leq i\leq l}\omega^{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_l}-\sum_{1\leq i\leq j\leq l-1}\frac{1}{(n+l)}\omega^{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_j}\right),$$

$$c_V=\frac{l(n-1)(2n+l+1)}{(n+l)(n+l+1)}.$$

For $\alpha,\beta\in\bar{\Delta}$, denote by $c_{\alpha,\beta}\in\mathbb{C}$ the structure constant. That is,

$$[e_\alpha,e_\beta]=c_{\alpha,\beta}e_{\alpha+\beta},\quad \alpha,\beta,\alpha+\beta\in\bar{\Delta}.$$

It is easy to check that for $\alpha,\beta\in\bar{\Delta}_+$ such that $\alpha+\beta\in\bar{\Delta}_+$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta\\ =\ & \frac{1}{n+2}[-3nh_\beta(-2)h_\alpha(-1)-6h_\alpha(-1)h_\beta(-1)^2+6n(h_\alpha(-1)-h_\beta(-1))e_\beta(-1)f_\beta(-1)\\ & +6nh_\beta(-1)(e_{\alpha+\beta}(-1)f_{\alpha+\beta}(-1)-e_\beta(-1)f_\beta(-1))+3n^2(e_\beta(-2)f_\beta(-1)-e_\beta(-1)f_\beta(-2))\\ & -3n^2(e_\alpha(-2)f_\alpha(-1)-e_\alpha(-1)f_\alpha(-2)+e_{\alpha+\beta}(-2)f_{\alpha+\beta}(-1)-e_{\alpha+\beta}(-1)f_{\alpha+\beta}(-2))\\ & +3n^2c_{\alpha,\beta}(f_\alpha(-1)e_{\alpha+\beta}(-1)f_\beta(-1)+e_\alpha(-1)f_{\alpha+\beta}(-1)e_\beta(-1))]\mathbf{1}\end{aligned}$$

The following lemmas can be checked directly.

Lemma 5.1. *For $\alpha,\beta\in\bar{\Delta}_+$ such that $\alpha+\beta\in\bar{\Delta}$, we have*

$$\omega_1^\alpha W^{\alpha+\beta}=\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta+\frac{1}{n+2}(2W^{\alpha+\beta}+W^\alpha-2W^\beta),$$

$$\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta + \omega_1^\beta W^\alpha = \frac{1}{n+2}(W^\alpha + W^\beta - W^{\alpha+\beta}).$$

Lemma 5.2. *For $1 \leq p \leq l-1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_{\alpha_p}(0)(\sum_{1\leq i\leq j<l} 2(n+2)w_1^{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_j} W^{\alpha_{j+1}+\cdots+\alpha_l} - \sum_{i=1}^{l}(n+4i-2)W^{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_l}) \\
= \; & (2n^2-12np+16)e_{\alpha_p}(-3)\mathbf{1} + (-3n^2+6np-12p-12n-12)h_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_p}(-2)\mathbf{1} \\
& +(3n^2+6np)h_{\alpha_p}(-2)e_{\alpha_p}(-1)\mathbf{1} + (6n+12p)h_{\alpha_p}(-1)^2 e_{\alpha_p}(-1)\mathbf{1} \\
& -12n\sum_{1\leq i\leq p-1} c_{\alpha_p,\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}} h_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_p}(-1)f_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}}(-1)\mathbf{1} \\
& -12n\sum_{1\leq i\leq p} e_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_p}(-1)f_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_p}(-1)\mathbf{1} \\
& +12n\sum_{1\leq i\leq p-1} e_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}}(-1)f_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}}(-1)\mathbf{1} \\
& -24\sum_{1\leq i\leq p-1} h_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}}(-1)(e_{\alpha_p}(-2)\mathbf{1} - h_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_p}(-1)\mathbf{1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.3. *For $1 \leq p \leq l-1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_{\alpha_p}(0)(\sum_{1\leq i\leq j<q\leq l-1} 2(n+2)w_1^{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_j} W^{\alpha_{j+1}+\cdots+\alpha_q} - \sum_{1\leq i\leq q\leq l-1}(n+4i-2)W^{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_q}) \\
= \; & (n+l)[(2n^2-12np+16)e_{\alpha_p}(-3)\mathbf{1} + (-3n^2+6np-12p-12n-12)h_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_p}(-2)\mathbf{1} \\
& +(3n^2+6np)h_{\alpha_p}(-2)e_{\alpha_p}(-1)\mathbf{1} + (6n+12p)h_{\alpha_p}(-1)^2 e_{\alpha_p}(-1)\mathbf{1} \\
& -12n\sum_{1\leq i\leq p-1} c_{\alpha_p,\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}} h_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_p}(-1)f_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}}(-1)\mathbf{1} \\
& -12n\sum_{1\leq i\leq p} e_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_p}(-1)f_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_p}(-1)\mathbf{1} \\
& +12n\sum_{1\leq i\leq p-1} e_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}}(-1)f_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}}(-1)\mathbf{1} \\
& -24\sum_{1\leq i\leq p-1} h_{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_{p-1}}(-1)(e_{\alpha_p}(-2)\mathbf{1} - h_{\alpha_p}(-1)e_{\alpha_p}(-1)\mathbf{1})].
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.4. *For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta_+$, we have*

$$\omega_2^\gamma W^\alpha = 0, \quad \omega_2^\gamma \omega_1^\alpha W^\beta = 0.$$

Denote

$$X^{(0)} = 0, \quad X^{(1)} = -(n+2)W^{\alpha_1},$$

$$X^{(p)} = 2(n+2)\sum_{1\leq i\leq j<q\leq p} \omega_1^{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_j} W^{\alpha_{j+1}+\cdots+\alpha_q} - \sum_{1\leq i\leq q\leq p}(n+4i-2)W^{\alpha_i+\cdots+\alpha_q},$$

for $p \geq 2$. Set

$$W = \frac{1}{n+l}X^{(l-1)} - \frac{1}{n+l+1}X^{(l)}.$$

We have the following result.

Theorem 5.5. *For $l \geq 1$, W is a weight three generator of $V = C_{K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)}(K(\mathfrak{sl}_l, n))$. Furthermore, W is a primary element.*

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 that for $1 \leq p \leq l-1$,

$$e_{\alpha_p}(0)W = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Consider $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}}}(n,0)$ as a module of the simple Lie algebra generated by $e_{\alpha_p}, f_{\alpha_p}, h_{\alpha_p}$, for $1 \leq p \leq l-1$. Then (5.2) implies that W is a highest weight vector. Since $h_{\alpha_p}(0)W = 0$ for $1 \leq p \leq l$, we have $f_{\alpha_p}(0)W = 0$, $1 \leq p \leq l-1$. Notice that $W \in K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}, n)$. It follows that $W \in C_{K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1},n)}(K(\mathfrak{sl}_l, n))$. Lemma 5.4 implies that W is a primary element. □

For $\alpha \in \Delta_+$, from [DLY] we have

Lemma 5.6.

$$W_5^\alpha W^\alpha = 12n^3(n-2)(n-1)(3n+4)\mathbf{1};$$
$$W_5^\alpha W^\alpha = 36n^3(n-2)(n+2)(3n+4)\omega^\alpha;$$
$$W_2^\alpha W^\alpha = 18n^3(n-2)(n+2)(3n+4)\omega_{-2}^\alpha \mathbf{1}.$$

The following lemmas can be checked directly.

Lemma 5.7. *For $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_+$ such that $\alpha \neq \pm\beta$*

$$W_5^\alpha W^\beta = 6(\alpha|\beta)n^3(n-1)(n-2);$$
$$W_2^\alpha W^\beta = 18(\alpha|\beta)n^3(n-2)(n+2)^2\omega_0^\alpha\omega^\beta;$$
$$W_3^\alpha W^\beta = \begin{cases} -18n^3(n-2)(n+2)(\omega^\alpha + \omega^\beta - \omega^{\alpha+\beta}) \text{ if } (\alpha|\beta) = -1, \\ 18n^3(n-2)(n+2)(\omega^\alpha + \omega^\beta - \omega^{\alpha-\beta}) \quad \text{if } (\alpha|\beta) = 1, \\ 0 \quad \text{if}(\alpha|\beta) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.8. *For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Delta_+$ such that $(\alpha|\beta) = -1$, we have*

$$W_3^\alpha\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta = 18n^3(n-2)[-(n+4)\omega^\beta + (n+4)\omega^{\alpha+\beta} - 3(n+2)\omega^\alpha];$$
$$W_3^\beta\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta = 18n^3(n-2)[(n+4)\omega^\alpha + 3(3n+4)\omega^\beta - (n+4)\omega^{\alpha+\beta}];$$
$$W_3^{\alpha+\beta}\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta = 18n^3(n-2)(n+1)(\omega^\alpha - \omega^\beta - 3\omega^{\alpha+\beta});$$
$$W_3^\gamma\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta = 18n^3(n-2)(\omega^{\alpha+\beta-\gamma} + \omega^\beta - \omega^{\alpha+\beta} - \omega^{\beta-\gamma}), \quad \text{if } (\gamma|\alpha) = 0,\ (\gamma|\beta) = 1;$$
$$W_3^\gamma\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta = 18n^3(n-2)(\omega^{\alpha+\beta} + \omega^{\beta+\gamma} - \omega^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} - \omega^\beta), \quad \text{if } (\gamma|\alpha) = 0,\ (\gamma|\beta) = -1;$$
$$W_3^\gamma\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta = 18n^3(n-2)(\omega^\alpha + 2\omega^\beta + 3\omega^\gamma - \omega^{\alpha+\gamma} - 2\omega^{\gamma-\beta}), \quad \text{if } (\gamma|\alpha) = -1,\ (\gamma|\beta) = 1;$$
$$W_3^\gamma\omega_1^\alpha W^\beta = 0, \quad \text{if } (\alpha|\gamma) = 1,\ (\gamma|\beta) = 0.$$

By Lemmas 5.6-5.8, we can deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 5.9.

$$W_5 W = \frac{6n^3l(n-1)(n-2)(n+2l)(2n+l+1)(3n+2l+2)}{(n+l+1)(n+l)};$$
$$W_3 W = 36n^3(n-2)(n+2l)(3n+2l+2)\omega.$$

Notice that

$$W_2W = -W_2W + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j!} L(-1)^j W_{j+2}W.$$

So by Lemma 5.9, we have

$$W_2W \in L(c_V, 0). \tag{5.3}$$

For $k + n = \frac{n+l}{n+l+1}$, let $\widetilde{V} = \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ be the $\mathcal{W}$-algebra associated to $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. Denote by $\widetilde{\omega}$ its conformal vector. Let $\widetilde{W}$ be the weight three primary vector of $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ such that

$$(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}) = -\frac{6n^3l(n-1)(n-2)(n+2l)(2n+l+1)(3n+2l+2)}{(n+l+1)(n+l)}. \tag{5.4}$$

Then by the fusion rules of the Virasoro algebra, we have

$$\widetilde{W}_3\widetilde{W} = 36n^3(n-2)(n+2l)(3n+2l+2)\widetilde{\omega}. \tag{5.5}$$

Note that $c_V = c_{\widetilde{V}}$. So $L(c_V, 0) = L(c_{\widetilde{V}}, 0)$. We denote $c = c_V = c_{\widetilde{V}}$. We may identify the Virasoro vertex operator subalgebra $L(c, 0)$ in V and $\widetilde{V}$.

In view of (5.1), the character of V has been given in [KWa2]. This coincides with the character of $\widetilde{V}$ that was conjectured in [FKW] and proved in [Ar2]. Therefore we have the following.

Lemma 5.10. *V and $\widetilde{V}$ share the same characters.*

It is known that $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is strongly generated by $n-1$ quasi-primary vectors with weights $2, 3, \cdots, n$ [FBZ]. For $n = 3$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.11. *If $n = 3$, then $W_iW \in L(c, 0)$, for $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.9 and (5.3), $W_iW \in L(c_V, 0)$, $i \geq 2$. Then by the skew-symmetry, we only need to show that $W_1W \in L(c_V, 0)$. By Lemma 5.10 and [FBZ] (Chapter 14), for $n = 3$, V has no primary vector of weight 4. So we may assume that

$$W_1W = aL(-1)W + u,$$

for some $u \in L(c, 0)$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. If $a \neq 0$, then

$$L(1)(W_1W - u) = aL(1)L(-1)W = 6aW. \tag{5.6}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$L(1)W_1W = \sum_{i=0}^{2} \binom{2}{i} (\omega_i W)_{3-i}W = 3W_2W \in L(c, 0),$$

contradicting (5.6). We deduce that $a = 0$, which implies that $W_1W \in L(c, 0)$. $\square$

Denote by U the vertex operator subalgebra of V generated by W. Then by Lemma 5.10, for $n = 3$, U is linearly spanned by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S} = \ & \{L(-m_s)\cdots L(-m_1)W_{-k_p}\cdots W_{-k_1}\mathbf{1}, k_p \geq \cdots \geq k_1 \geq 1,\\ & n \in \mathbb{Z},\ m_s \geq \cdots \geq m_1 \geq 1,\ s, p \geq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

From [FBZ] (Chapter 14), for $n = 3$, $\widetilde{V} = \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is linearly spanned by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} = \ & \{L(-m_s)\cdots L(-m_1)\widetilde{W}_{-k_p}\cdots \widetilde{W}_{-k_1}\mathbf{1},\ k_p \geq \cdots \geq k_1 \geq 1,\\ & n \in \mathbb{Z},\ m_s \geq \cdots \geq m_1 \geq 1,\ s, p \geq 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

For any

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j L(-n_{j1})\cdots L(-n_{jt_j})W_{-r_{j1}}\cdots W_{-r_{jp_j}}\mathbf{1},$$

where $q \geq 1, t_j, p_j \geq 0$, $n_{j1}, \cdots, n_{jt_j}, r_{j1}, \cdots, r_{jp_j} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, q$, we always denote

$$\widetilde{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j L(-n_{j1})\cdots L(-n_{jt_j})\widetilde{W}_{-r_{j1}}\cdots \widetilde{W}_{-r_{jp_j}}\mathbf{1}.$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.12. *For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and*

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j L(-n_{j1})\cdots L(-n_{jt_j})W_{-r_{j1}}\cdots W_{-r_{jp_j}}\mathbf{1},$$

where $q \geq 1, t_j, p_j \geq 0$, $n_{j1}, \cdots, n_{jt_j}, r_{j1}, \cdots, r_{jp_j} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, q$, if

$$W_m u = v$$

is a linear combination of vectors from $\mathcal{S}$, then

$$\widetilde{W}_m \widetilde{u} = \widetilde{v}.$$

Proof. We may assume that u is homogeneous. We prove the lemma by induction on the weight of u. If $\mathrm{wt}u \leq 3$, the lemma is true by the fact that $(W, W) = (\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W})$ and the fusion rules of the Virasoro algebra $L(c, 0)$. Suppose that the lemma holds for homogeneous u such that $\mathrm{wt}u \leq N$. We now assume that $\mathrm{wt}u = N$. For each monomial $u_j = L(-n_{j1})\cdots L(-n_{jt_j})W_{-r_{j1}}\cdots W_{-r_{jp_j}}\mathbf{1}$, let $W_m u_j = v_j$ be a linear combination of elements in $\mathcal{S}$. It is obvious that we may assume that $t_j = 0$. If $m \leq -r_{j1}$, then we have $\widetilde{W}_m \widetilde{u}_j = \widetilde{v}_j$. If $m > -r_{j1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& W_m u_j = W_m W_{-r_{j1}}\cdots W_{-r_{jp_j}}\mathbf{1}\\ & = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\binom{m}{s}(W_s W)_{m-r_{j1}-s}W_{-r_{j2}}\cdots W_{-r_{jp_j}}\mathbf{1} + W_{-r_{j1}}W_m W_{-r_{j2}}\cdots W_{-r_{jp_j}}\mathbf{1}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{W}_m u_j = \widetilde{W}_m \widetilde{W}_{-r_{j1}} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-r_{jp_j}} \mathbf{1} \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{s} (\widetilde{W}_s \widetilde{W})_{m-r_{j1}-s} \widetilde{W}_{-r_{j2}} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-r_{jp_j}} \mathbf{1} + \widetilde{W}_{-r_{j1}} \widetilde{W}_m \widetilde{W}_{-r_{j2}} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-r_{jp_j}} \mathbf{1}\end{aligned}$$

Then the lemma follows from Lemma 5.11 and the inductive assumption. □

Lemma 5.13. *Suppose that $n = 3$. For any $q \geq 1, t_j, p_j \geq 0$, $n_{j1}, \cdots, n_{jt_j}, r_{j1}, \cdots, r_{jp_j} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, q$, if*

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j L(-n_{j1}) \cdots L(-n_{jt_j}) W_{-r_{j1}} \cdots W_{-r_{jp_j}} \mathbf{1} = 0$$

for some $b_j \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\widetilde{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j L(-n_{j1}) \cdots L(-n_{jt_j}) \widetilde{W}_{-r_{j1}} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-r_{jp_j}} \mathbf{1} = 0.$$

Proof. We may assume that u is a linear combination of homogeneous elements having same weight. Suppose that $\tilde{u} \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ is self-dual and generated by $\widetilde{W}$, there is $\widetilde{W}_{r_1} \widetilde{W}_{r_2} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{r_q} \mathbf{1} \in \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ such that

$$(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{W}_{r_1} \widetilde{W}_{r_2} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{r_q} \mathbf{1}) \neq 0. \tag{5.7}$$

Claim For any $k_p \geq \cdots \geq k_1 \geq 1$, $q_1, q_2, \cdots, q_t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m_s \geq \cdots \geq m_1 \geq 1$, $p, t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(L(-m_s) \cdots L(-m_1) W_{-k_p} \cdots W_{-k_1} \mathbf{1}, W_{q_1} W_{q_2} \cdots W_{q_t} \mathbf{1}) \\ &\quad = (L(-m_s) \cdots L(-m_1) \widetilde{W}_{-k_p} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-k_1} \mathbf{1}, \widetilde{W}_{q_1} \widetilde{W}_{q_2} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{q_t} \mathbf{1}).\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

We may assume that

$$\mathrm{wt}(L(-m_s) \cdots L(-m_1) \widetilde{W}_{-k_p} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-k_1} \mathbf{1}) = \mathrm{wt}(\widetilde{W}_{q_1} \widetilde{W}_{q_2} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{q_t} \mathbf{1}).$$

We prove (5.8) by induction on $\mathrm{wt}(L(-m_s) \cdots L(-m_1) \widetilde{W}_{-k_p} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-k_1} \mathbf{1})$. By Lemma 5.9 and (5.4), (5.8) holds if $\mathrm{wt}(L(-m_s) \cdots L(-m_1) \widetilde{W}_{-k_p} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-k_1} \mathbf{1}) \leq 3$. Now assume that the claim holds for $\mathrm{wt}(L(-m_s) \cdots L(-m_1) \widetilde{W}_{-k_p} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-k_1} \mathbf{1}) < N$. Then by Lemma 5.11 and the inductive assumption, the claim holds for $L(-m_s) \cdots L(-m_1) \widetilde{W}_{-k_p} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-k_1} \mathbf{1}$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(L(-m_s) \cdots L(-m_1) \widetilde{W}_{-k_p} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-k_1} \mathbf{1}) = N$.

By the claim and (5.7), we have

$$(u, W_{q_1} W_{q_2} \cdots W_{q_t} \mathbf{1}) \neq 0,$$

which contradicts the assumption that $u = 0$. □

Theorem 5.14. *For $n = 3$ and $k = -n + \frac{n+l}{n+l+1}$, we have $C_{K(\mathfrak{sl}_{l+1}, n)}(K(\mathfrak{sl}_l, n)) \cong \mathcal{W}_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$.*

Proof. Define $\varphi : U \to \widetilde{V}$ as follows: for any

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j L(-n_{j1}) \cdots L(-n_{jt_j}) W_{-r_{j1}} \cdots W_{-r_{jp_j}} \mathbf{1},$$

where $q \geq 1, t_j, p_j \geq 0$, $n_{j1}, \cdots, n_{jt_j}, r_{j1}, \cdots, r_{jp_j} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $j = 1, 2, \cdots, q$,

$$\varphi(u) = \widetilde{u} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} b_j L(-n_{j1}) \cdots L(-n_{jt_j}) \widetilde{W}_{-r_{j1}} \cdots \widetilde{W}_{-r_{jp_j}} \mathbf{1}.$$

By Lemma 5.13 and Lemma 5.11, φ ia a surjective vertex operator algebra homomorphism from U to $\widetilde{V}$. Since $U \subseteq V$, and V and $\widetilde{V}$ share the same character, we deduce that $U = V$ and φ is an isomorphism. □

References

[ABD] T. Abe, G. Buhl and C. Dong, Rationality, Regularity, and C_2 co-finiteness, *Trans. AMS* **356** (2004), 3391-3402.

[AP] D. Adamovic and O. Perse, The vertex algebra $M(1)^+$ and certain affine vertex algebras of level -1, *SIGMA* 8 (2012), 040.

[Ar1] T. Arakawa, Representation theory of superconformal algebras and the Kac-Roan- Wakimoto conjecture, *Duke Math. J.* **130** (2005), 435-478.

[Ar2] T. Arakawa, Representation theory of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras, *Invent. Math.*, **169**(2) 2007, 219-320.

[Ar3] T. Arakawa, Rationality of Bershadsky-Polyalov vertex algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **323**(2) (2013), 627-633.

[Ar4] T. Arakawa, Associated varieties of modules over Kac-Moody algebras and C_2-cofiniteness of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2015** (2015), 11605-11666.

[Ar5] T. Arakawa, Rationality of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras: principal nilpotent cases, *Ann. Math.* **182**(2)(2015), 565-694.

[ALY1] T. Arakawa, C. Lam and H. Yamada, Zhu's algebra, C_2-algebras and C_2-cofiniteness of parafermion vertex operator algebras, *Adv.Math.* **264** (2014), 261-295.

[ALY2] T. Arakawa, C. Lam and H. Yamada, Parafermion vertex operator algebras and $\mathcal{W}$-algebras, arXiv: 1701.06229.

[AM] T. Arakawa and A. Molev, Explicit generators in rectangular affine $\mathcal{W}$-algebras of type A, arXiv: 1403.1017v1.

[BBSS1] Extensions of the Virasoro algebra constructed from Kac-Moody algebras using higher order Casimir invariants. Nucl. Phys. **B304** (1988), 348-370.

[BBSS2] F. A. Bais, P. Bouwknegt, K. Schoutens, M. Surridge, Coset construction for extended Virasoro algebras. Nucl. Phys. **B304** (1988), 371-391.

[BG] A. Bilal, J.-L. Gervais, Conformal theories with non-linearly extended Virasoro symmetries and Lie algebra classification. In: Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. vol. 7, pp. 483-526. Singapore: World Scientific 1989.

[BFM] A. Beilinson, B. Feigin, and B. Mazur. Introduction to algebraic field theory on curves. preprint.

[BO] M. Bershadsky, H. Ooguri, Hidden SL(w)-symmetry in conformal field theory. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **126** (1989), 49-84.

[BEHHH] R. Blumenhagen, W. Eholzer, A. Honecker, K. Hornfeck and R. Hübel, Coset realization of unifying W-algebras, *Internat. J. Modern Phys.* **A10** (1995), 2367-2430.

[BFKNRV] R. Blumenhagen, M. Flohr, A. Kleim, W. Nahm, A. Rechnagel, R. Varnhagen, H-algebras with two and three generators. Nucl. Phys. **B354** (1991), 255-289.

[BFH] J. de Boer, L. Feher, and A. Honecker, A class of $\mathcal{W}$-algebras with infinitely generated classical limit, *Nucl. Phys.* **B420** (1994), 409-445.

[B] R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

[Bou] P. Bouwknegt, Extended conformal algebras from Kac-Moody algebras. In: Advanced Ser. Math. Phys. vol. 7, pp. 527-555. Singapore: World Scientific 1989.

[BS] P. Bouwknegt and K. Schoutens, $\mathcal{W}$-symmetry in conformal field theory, *Phys. Rept.* **223** (1993), 183- 276.

[BP] P. Bowcock, P. Goddard, Coset constructions and extended conformal algebras. Nucl. Phys. **B305** (1988), 685.

[CGT] A. Cappelli, L. S. Georgiev and I. T. Todorov, Parafermion Hall states from coset projections of abelian conformal theories, *Nucl. Phys.* **B599** (2001), 499–530.

[ChL] T. Chen and C. Lam, Extension of the tensor product of unitary Virasoro vertex operator algebra, *Commun. Alg.* **35** (2007), 2487-2505.

[CL] T. Creutzig and A. R. Linshaw, Cosets of affine vertex algebras inside large structures, arXiv: 1407.8512v3.

[dBT] J. de Boer, T. Tjin, The relation between quantum W algebras and Lie algebras, *Comm.Math. Phys.* **160**(2) (1994), 317-332.

[DJX] C. Dong, X. Jiao, and F. Xu, Mirror extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **329**(1) (2014), 263-294.

[DLTV] C. Dong, C. Lam, K. Tanabe, H. Yamada, and K. Yokoyama, Z_3 symmetry and W_3 algebra in lattice vertex operator algebras, *Pacific J. Math.*, **215**(2) (2004), 245-296.

[DLWY] C. Dong, C. Lam, Q. Wang and H. Yamada, The structure of parafermion vertex operator algebras, *J. Alg.* **323** (2010), 371-381.

[DLY] C. Dong, C. Lam and H. Yamada, W-algebras related to parafermion vertex operator algebras, *J. Alg.* **322** (2009), 2366-2403.

[DLM1] C. Dong, H. Li and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), 571-600.

[DW1] C. Dong and Q. Wang, The structure of parafermion vertex operator algebras: general case, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **299** (2010), 783-792.

[DW2] C. Dong and Q. Wang, On C_2-cofiniteness of parafermion vertex operator algebras, *J. Alg.* **328** (2011), 420-431.

[DS] V. G. Drinfeld, V. V. Sokolov, Lie algebra and the KdV type equations. Soviet J. Math. **30** (1985), 1975-2036.

[FZa] V.A. Fateev, A.B. Zamolodchikov, Conformal quantum field theory models in two dimensions having Z3 symmetry. *Nucl. Phys.* **B280** [FS18], (1987), 644-660.

[FL] V. A. Fateev and S. L. Lukyanov. The models of two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory with Zn symmetry, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A*, **3**(2) (1988), 507-520.

[FFR1] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, Affine Kac-Moody algebras, bosonization and resolutions, *Phys. Lett.* **19** (1990), 307-317.

[FFr2] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, Duality in W -algebras, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, **6** (1991), 75-82.

[FFr3] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, Quantization of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction, *Phys. Letts.* B246 (1990), 75-81.

[FFr4] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, Affine Kac-Moody algebras at the critical level and Gelfand-Dikii algebras, Infinite analysis, Part A (Kyoto, 1991) *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, 16, World Scientific, 197-215, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. A7, Supplement 1A (1992) 197-215.

[FFu] B. Feigin and D. Fuchs, Verma modules over the Virasoro algebra, Topology (Leningrad, 1982), *Lecture Notes in Math.* 1060. Berlin-New York, Springer: 230-245.

[Fe] G. Felder, BRST approach to minimal models. Nucl. Phys. **B317** (1989), 215-236.

[F] E. Frenkel, W-algebras and Langlands-Drinfeld correspondence, New symmetry principles in quantum field theory (Cargse, 1991), 433–447, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., **295**, Plenum, New York, 1992.

[FBZ] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi, Vertex algebras and algebraic curves, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 88. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.

[FKW] E. Frenkel, V. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Characters and fusion rules for W-algebras via quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147** (1992) 295-328.

[FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs American Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.

[FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman,Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Applied Math.* Vol. **134**, Academic Press, 1988.

[FZ] I. B. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.

[GQ] D. Gepner and Z. Qiu, Modular invariant partition functions for parafermionic field theories, *Nucl. Phys.* **B285** (1987), 423-453.

[GKO] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Unitary representations of the Virasoro and super-Virasoro algebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986), 105-119.

[JL1] C. Jiang and Z. Lin, The commutant of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}(n,0)$ in the vertex operator algebra $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}}(1,0)^{\otimes n}$, *Adv. Math.* **301** (2016), 227-257.

[JL2] C. Jiang and Z. Lin, Tensor Decomposition, Parafermions, Level-Rank Duality, and Reciprocity Law for Vertex Operator Algebras, arXiv: 1406.4191

[K] V. G. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[KR] V. G. Kac and A. Raina, Highest Weight Representations of Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras, World Scientific, Adv. Ser. In Math. Phys., Singapore, 1987.

[KRW] V. G. Kac, Shi-Shyr Roan, and M. Wakimoto. Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 241(2-3) (2003), 307-342.

[KWa1] V. G. Kac, M. Wakimoto, Classification of modular invariant representations of affine algebras, in: Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. vol. 7, Singapore: World Scientific 1989, 138 177..

[KWa2] V. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Branching functions for winding subalgebras and tensor products, *Acta Applicandae Math.,* **21** (1990), 3-39.

[KWa3] V.G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Quantum reduction and representation theory of super- conformal algebras, Adv. Math. 185 (2004), 400-458. Corrigendum, *Adv. Math.* **193** (2005), 453-455.

[KWa4] V. Kac and M. Wakimoto. On rationality of W-algebras. *Transform. Groups,* **13**(3-4) (2008), 671–713.

[KW] V. Kac and W. Wang, Vertex operator superalgebras and their representations, *Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc.,* **175** (1994), 161-191.

[KLY] M. Kitazume, C. Lam and H. Yamada, Decomposition of the moonshine vertex operator algebra as Virasoro modules, *J. Alg.* **226** (2000), 893-919.

[KMY] M. Kitazume, M. Miyamoto and H. Yamada, Ternary codes and vertex operator algebras, *J. Alg.* **223** (2000), 379-395.

[Ku] S. Kudla, Seesaw dial reductive pairs, *Automorphic forms of several variables* (1984), 244-268.

[L] C. Lam, A level rank duality for parafermion vertex operator algebras of type A, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (2014),

[LS] C. Lam and S. Sakuma, On a class of vertex operator algebras having a faithful S_{n+1}-action, *Taiwanese J. of Math.*, **12** (2008), 2465-2488.

[LY] C. Lam and H. Yamada, Decomposition of the lattice vertex operator algebra $V_{\sqrt{2}A_l}$, *J. Alg.* **272** (2004), 614-624.

[LZ] G. Lehrer, R. Zhang, The second fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the orthogonal group. *Ann. Math. (2)* **176** (2012), no. 3, 2031-2054.

[LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations, *Progress in Mathematics,* Vol. **227**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.

[Li] H. Li, Some finiteness properties of regular vertex operator algebras, *J. Alg.* **212** (1999), 495-514.

[Lu] S. L. Lukyanov, Quantization of the Gelfand-Dikii algebra. Funct. Anal. Appl. 22:4, 1-10 (1988)

[LF] S. L. Lukyanov, V. A. Fateev, Conformally invariant models of two-dimensional quantum field theory with Zn-symmetry, Soviet Phys. JETP **67**(3) (1988), 447–454.

[MO] A. Marian and D. Oprea, The level-rank duality for non-abelian theta functions. *Invent. Math.* **168** (2007), no. 2, 225–247.

[MNT] A. Matsuo, K. Nagatomo and A. Tsuchiya, Quasi-finite algebras graded by Hamiltonian and vertex operator algebras, math. QA/0505071.

[Mi] J. Thierry-Mieg, Generalizations of the Sugawara construction. Proceedings of Cargese Summer School, 1987.

[M] M. Miyamoto, Representation theory of code vertex operator algebra, *J. Algebra* **201** (1998), 115–150.

[MT] M. Miyamoto and K. Tanabe, Uniform product of $A_{g,n}(V)$ for an orbifold model V and G-twisted Zhu algebra, *J. Alg.* **274** (2004), 80-96.

[Mu] S. Mukhopadhyay, Rank-level duality and the conformal block divisors, arXiv:1308.0854v1

[NS] S. G. Naculich,and H. J. Schnitzer, Level-rank duality of the U(N) WZW model, Chern-Simons theory, and 2d qYM theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** 023 (2007), 18 pp. (electronic).

[OS] V. Ostrik and M. Sun, Level-rank duality via tensor categories, arXiv:1208.5131v2, May 23, 2013.

[W] W. Wang, Rationality of Virasoro vertex operator algebras, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices,* **7** (1993), 197-211.

[We] H. Weyl, *The classical groups. Their invariants and representations.* Fifteenth printing. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1997.

[X1] F. Xu, Algebraic coset conformal field theories II, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **35** (1999), 795-824.

[X2] F. Xu, Algebraic coset conformal field theories, *Commnu. Math. Phys.* **211** (2000), 1-43.

[Za] A.B. Zamolodchikov, Infinite additional symmetries in 2-dimensional conformal quantum field theory, *Theor. Mat. Phys.* **65** (1985), 1205-1213.

[ZF] A. B. Zamolodchikov and V. A. Fateev, Nonlocal (parafermion) currents in two-dimensional conformal quantum field theory and self-dual critical points in ZN-symmetric statistical systems, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **62** (1985), 215-225.

[Z] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, *J. Amer, Math. Soc.* **9** (1996), 237-302.

(Arakawa) Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, 606-8502, Japan

E-mail address: arakawa@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

(Jiang) School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China

E-mail address: cpjiang@sjtu.edu.cn